IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVN.APPLICATION NO.365 OF 2005

Chandralal Rijanmal
Tekchandani ..Applicant

Vs.

Mohammed Farooque Shahadat
& Anr. ..Respondents

...

Mr.M.S.Mohite Advocate for Applicant
Mr.S.M.Mangaonkar for Respondent no.1
Mr.B.H.Mehta A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : OCTOBER 25, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant-accused, learned advocate for the respondent no.1-original complainant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. By order dated 12.4.2005, the applicant has been convicted under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate, 6th Court, Mazgaon, Mumbai. The

applicant preferred appeal against the said judgment and order. The learned Sessions Judge by order dated 4.10.2005 dismissed the said appeal. Being aggrieved by the said order, this application has been preferred.

3. The complainant who is present in person has stated that matter has been amicably settled between the parties. Consent terms have been filed by the parties which are taken on record and marked ‘X’ for identification. In view of the consent terms permission is granted to compound the offence and applicant-accused is acquitted thereunder. Revision application is disposed of in terms of consent terms.

4. The complainant is permitted to withdraw the amount of Rs.2,20,000/- which has been deposited by the applicant before the Sessions Court.

5. Authenticated copy be issued to the parties.

[V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]